

# INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

## SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING

---

DATE: February 2-3, 2006  
TIME: 8:30 a.m.

PLACE: Natural Resources Building  
Olympia, Washington

---

MEETING CALLED TO ORDER.....	3
CONSENT CALENDAR.....	3
MANAGEMENT STATUS REPORTS.....	3
REVIEW 2006 WORK PLAN AND AGENDA TOPICS .....	5
WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (WAC) RULES & ASSOCIATED MANUALS- ADOPTION PROCESS.....	6
WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (WAC) RULES- WAC 286-13-045.....	6
WASHINGTON WILDLIFE AND RECREATION PROGRAM (WWRP)-NEW CATEGORIES, STATE LANDS .....	7
WWRP- RIPARIAN PROTECTION ACCOUNT CATEGORY.....	9
WWRP- FARMLAND PROTECTION CATEGORY.....	11
WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE RULES- WAC 287-27 .....	12
FINAL ADOPTION-MANUAL (S)- WWRP- RELATED .....	13
MITIGATION BANKING PROGRAM- WWRP- PROPOSED REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS .....	14

NATIONAL RECREATIONAL TRAIL PROGRAM (NRTP) POLICIES- MATCHING FUNDS, EVALUTATION AND OTHER MANUAL UPDATES: .....	16
MITIGATION BANKING PROGRAM- WWRP- PROPOSED REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (Continued) .....	17
OTHER BUSINESS: .....	18
WORK SESSION: CONVERSION ISSUES- THE BIGGER PICTURE.....	18
REVIEW OF URBAN WILDLIFE HABITAT (UWH) PROGRAM.....	20
TRAIL PLAN PRESENTATION.....	20
MATCHING REQUIREMENTS FOR IAC GRANTS.....	22
IAC NAME CHANGE .....	22
STRADDLELINE ORV PARK- CONTRACT WITH GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY .....	23
REPORTS FROM PARTNERS AND PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD .....	25
LEAVE FOR TOUR AT ORV PARK.....	25

## INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING

### Day 1

---

DATE: February 2-3, 2006  
TIME: 8:30 a.m.

PLACE: Natural Resources Building  
Olympia, Washington

---

### INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Val Ogden, Chair	Vancouver
Yvonne Yokota	Sequim
Karen Daubert	Seattle
Bill Chapman	Mercer Island
Jeff Parsons	Leavenworth
Rex Derr	Director, State Parks and Recreation Commission
Craig Partridge	Designee, Department of Natural Resources
Mark Quinn	Designee, Department of Fish and Wildlife

---

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED WITH THE NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.  
A RECORDED TAPE IS RETAINED BY IAC AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

### MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

Chair Val Ogden called the meeting to order at 8:45 a.m.

She welcomed everyone and asked committee members to introduce themselves.

The agenda was reviewed and approved with minor changes.

### CONSENT CALENDAR

Reviewed Resolution #2006-01

- Approval of IAC Minutes - November 15, 2005 meeting.
- Time Extensions.
- Cost Increase.

Jeff Parsons **MOVED** approval of Resolution #2006-01. **SECONDED** by Yvonne Yokota.

Karen Daubert appreciated the fact that there were only two requests for time extensions but commented on the need to lower that number even more.

Board Resolution #2006-01 **APPROVED** as presented.

### MANAGEMENT STATUS REPORTS

#### *Director's Report*

Director Laura Johnson presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #3a for details.)

Director Johnson highlighted several items:

- Washington Wildlife and Recreation Coalition legislative day on February 9, 2006.
- Discussed the need for e-mail updates on what staff is doing between meetings.
- Federal budget – There was only a 1 percent cut to Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF). The Board will be able to proceed with the grants approved.
- Pending lawsuits with Thurston County ORV Park and the Northwest Motorcycle Association have been resolved.

#### *Financial Report*

Mark Jarasitis presented this written report. (See notebook item #3b for details.)

There were no Board questions for Mark.

#### *Planning & Project Services Status Report*

Neil Aaland presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #3c for details.)

Neil highlighted three comments on the update. Staff is focused on working on the WWRP grant programs; staff is gearing up for the grant workshops starting March 1, 2006, and an update on all other staff work.

The Chair encouraged Board members to attend a grant workshop.

Karen asked about how the Legislature's interest in recreation standards came about.

Jim Fox noted that there was a Legislative taskforce that convened in the off-season, working on ways to get additional funds for parks and to find a way to identify need. He and Jim Eychaner have been working with Representative Hans Dunshee on this issue. Jim Fox believes there will be a bill on this topic.

The Chair pointed out that Jim Eychaner is the chair of the National Association of Recreation Resource Planners (NARRP).

The Chair asked about the role of the Board in the trails conference and will discuss this more during the trails agenda item.

#### *Communications Report*

This agenda item was a written report only. (See notebook item #3d for details.)

Karen Daubert reported that she was able to present a "Big Check" in Burien and noted that it was a very positive experience for her.

#### *Legislative Update*

Jim Fox presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #3e for details.)

More than 1700 bills have been introduced in this session. The first cutoff is February 3 to read committee reports in their house of origin.

Jim Fox highlighted the following bills:

- SHB2422 – Provides funding for state and local parks. This would take a portion of existing real estate excise tax and produce about \$11 million per year for IAC. This also would require the stadium account to provide at least \$500,000 a year to Youth Athletic Facilities.
- SHB1728 – Creates a database on statewide ORV riding trails. This would be an expensive proposition and the funds would come from the NOVA program.
- SB6625 – Implements the 6242 report; creates a habitat and recreation lands coordinating group.
- HB3282 –Creates the Hood Canal Aquatic Rehabilitation Account. This would divert 50 percent of Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account funds to this account along with a prorating of other grant programs. This could cut our grant funding in half.

Karen asked about the ORV noise reduction bills.

Jim reported that the bills were heard but he is not sure if they were voted out or not.

Chair Ogden asked about Senate Bill 6353, concerning liability of recreational landowners.

Jim believes this bill is still alive but not sure of the current status.

Chair Ogden called attention to the planning and grants attachment to highlight Lorinda Anderson's memo providing information on the upcoming evaluation meetings. She encouraged the Board to plan on attending at least one of these meetings when possible.

## **REVIEW 2006 WORK PLAN AND AGENDA TOPICS**

Director Johnson presented this agenda item reviewing the calendar for 2006 and agenda items scheduled throughout the year. (See notebook item #4 for details.)

### **Board Discussion:**

The Chair noted the need for the Board to do a self-evaluation as was discussed during the Boards and Commissions training on ethics. She has asked Director Johnson to send the evaluation out in April for review and discussion the June 2006 meeting. Director Johnson will send out the draft document, wait to get comments back, and distribute for final feedback.

Karen brought up the urban wildlife habitat issue and asked that it be put on an the agenda for April or June.

## **WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (WAC) RULES & ASSOCIATED MANUALS-ADOPTION PROCESS**

Greg Lovelady presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #5 for details.)

Greg reviewed the process for today's agenda and WAC hearings.

## **WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (WAC) RULES- WAC 286-13-045**

Director Johnson, Greg Lovelady, and Jim Fox presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #6 for details.)

Director Johnson, acting as the WAC hearings officer, called the hearing to order at 9:35 a.m.

February 2, 2006, 9:35 a.m. in the Natural Resources Building in Olympia Washington. The purpose of this hearing is to consider testimony on IAC WACs regarding match requirements and supplanting of local match.

Greg Lovelady presented the details concerning this WAC and the process that was used to gather public comment.

### **Public Testimony:**

Lynn Meunch, environmental planning manager for Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe, is in support of this WAC. She appreciates how the Board has found a way to match grants but has concern with the 10 percent local match. She understands that the Board is looking for strong local support through funds or in-kind services but believes that there are other ways to show local support without the funds. She agrees that there should be a match other than another IAC grant but would not want to limit the ability to use other non-IAC grants. She provided reasoning behind this request. She asked the Board if it would be willing to provide the Director authority to waive the 10 percent requirement in certain circumstances.

Mark Quinn asked Lynn if there were specific programs or project types falling under this concern.

Lynn noted that program-wise there is not as much concern but more in the complexity of the project.

Hearings Officer Johnson asked staff to clarify whether the 10 percent matching requirement is part of the WAC change or not.

Jim Fox reported that the WAC gives the Board authority to require a local, non-state non-federal match. The Board had adopted the 10 percent match amount through Resolution #2005-24. The Board could change this amount but would need to address this at today's meeting so that staff is able to inform applicants during the application workshops.

Jeff Parsons asked Lynn if she could identify a project that would not have been funded if this requirement were in place.

Lynn noted that one project would be the Jimmycomelately project, as they needed \$6 million to complete this project. Other projects would be acquisition projects and a WSDOT project that had several state and federal grants involved.

Hearings Officer Johnson closed the hearing and turned the gavel back to Chair Ogden at 10:04 a.m.

Resolution #2006-02

Mark Quinn **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2006-02. Karen Daubert **SECONDED**.

Board Discussion:

Karen Daubert recalled the discussion when the 10 percent local match was decided and believes this has been thoroughly vetted and it should be approved.

Bill Chapman agreed with Karen although this WAC allows the Board to set the amount on a program-by-program basis. He believes this is what the Board should do as all the programs are not the same. He suggested adopting the WAC as presented but amending the Resolution to remove the second to the last paragraph concerning the NOVA program.

Mark Quinn agreed with the amendment to the resolution.

Craig Partridge clarified what the WAC and resolution adoption would mean and suggested amending the second paragraph to make it as an example of a program with hardships.

Director Johnson clarified the changes to the resolution:

- Remove the second to the last paragraph.
- Change the wording in the second full paragraph to read "Whereas, this latter requirement would present a hardship for certain programs such as IAC's Nonhighway and Off-Road Vehicle Activities (NOVA) Program where it may be difficult to find qualified project sponsors; and."

The Board **APPROVED** Resolution #2006-02 as amended approving WAC 286-13-045.

**WASHINGTON WILDLIFE AND RECREATION PROGRAM (WWRP)-NEW CATEGORIES, STATE LANDS**

Marguerite Austin presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #7 for details.)

Marguerite provided an overview on what the new state lands categories mean to the Board, WDFW, and DNR. Projects would be on existing state lands. Funding is provided through two new state lands categories under WWRP - a Habitat Conservation Account category for 10 percent of the funds and one is the Outdoor Recreation Account category for 5 percent.

Marguerite reviewed the WWRP State Lands Habitat Conservation Account, and the proposed changes to the draft manuals #10a and #10b. She explained the difference between memo #7 and the handout with proposed changes.

Bill Chapman asked about the authority for the \$250,000 limit under the development category.

Marguerite clarified that there is no authority but it was a recommendation from the Board.

Staff recommended making a change to *no maximum* amount with the minimum of \$25,000 in this category.

Multi-site:

Jeff Parsons asked about this limitation. Marguerite explained the need for multiple sites being in close proximity to assist with evaluation and project management.

Jeff asked about a four corners situation where four counties all meet in one project.

Mark Quinn noted that in a situation such as this, it would probably be one project site. This limitation is for multi-site only.

Marguerite also explained that if a project is within the same Natural Area Preserve (NAP), then more than two counties could be covered.

After review of the Habitat Conservation Account category, Marguerite then reviewed the WWRP Outdoor Recreation Account State Lands category, staff recommendations, and changes to the original notebook item.

Craig Partridge asked for clarification of statutory limits in both cases but especially the Outdoor Recreation Account renovation, and whether the limitation is statutory or through interpretation of the statute.

The Board discussed the definition of state lands and whether other managed properties are eligible.

Craig asked for an Attorney General's (AG) opinion on what the statute says and what the definition for state lands means. Craig volunteered to help staff draft the question to the AG.

Craig asked for the final adoption of these manuals to be postponed until the April 2006 meeting.

Karen has three issues:

1. Lack of maximum amount. She would like some consistency in both minimum and maximum. In State Lands Habitat category, she would favor a maximum of \$1 million.
2. Readiness to proceed. She feels two years is too short and would suggest three years and to start addressing this right up front.



3. Points system. She would like the species line item to be higher. She suggested having 10 points for species.

The Board agreed by consensus with a \$1 million maximum in the Habitat Conservation Account Restoration and Enhancement category. The State Lands Restoration and the Outdoor Recreation Account State Lands would have a maximum of \$250,000 and the Farmlands category would have a \$750,000 maximum.

*Readiness to procede issue*

Mark Quinn is not comfortable with a three-year limit, as it could take two years to get permits in place. It would be hard to complete the project within three years. He would prefer a four-year limit.

Director Johnson noted that staff is closely tracking the time it takes to complete projects.

Board agreed to keep the four-year limit.

*Point system, changing the rating*

Craig noted that focusing on threatened and endangered species may miss some of the other critical species that aren't covered through the threatened or endangered species act.

Mark noted that this fund provides an opportunity to make a difference for special ecological systems.

Chair Ogden asked if an advisory committee worked on this recommendation.

Marguerite responded that there was an advisory committee.

Jeff and Yvonne Yokota agree with both Craig and Mark's comments. Yvonne also agrees taking into account the advisory committees work on these new programs.

Public testimony:

No public testimony was requested for this agenda item.

**WWRP - RIPARIAN PROTECTION ACCOUNT CATEGORY**

Neil Aaland and Leslie Ryan-Connelly presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #8 for details.)

Neil reviewed the process and proposed changes for the WWRP Riparian Protection Account category.

Three major issues needing Board direction:

1. Matching share evaluation criteria.
2. Stewardship plans costs.
3. Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) lease extension.

Matching share:

The Board discussed how locals have a match requirement of 50 percent while state agencies aren't required to have a match. The evaluation team suggested providing up to four bonus points to locals for providing a match of 50 percent and above. Staff's recommendation is that no points would be given to entities providing more than 50 percent including state agencies.

Mark Quinn is concerned about giving points for something that is required.

Bill Chapman agrees with the need for the 50 percent match but would like to wait for final decisions until Craig is available to provide his comments.

Stewardship plans:

Staff is recommending that preparation of stewardship plans be covered in the funding at one percent or \$10,000 whichever is less.

Jeff Parsons asked if the amounts suggested were developed through a certain process.

Leslie responded that there was no process used other than using best professional judgment.

Bill believes it is a great idea to include the stewardship plan.  
The Board agreed to include the stewardship plan wording.

CREP lease extensions:

Staff had recommended delaying the CREP extensions but was told by the AG that statutorily the Board cannot postpone the decision on CREP extensions. Staff provided new wording that includes CREP lease extension language.

The Board agrees with keeping the CREP wording.

Karen asked how much funding is involved in this program.

Jim Fox noted that this is decided by formula in the law. For example, if the program gets more than \$40 million then the riparian and farmland categories would get funding. At the current \$50 million level, each would receive \$4 million.

Ineligible project activities:

Craig pointed out how this issue is addressed in the manual. It covers all WWRP categories not just the new riparian protection category. He would want the manual to call out the specific riparian category ineligible issue. The manual needs to state clearly that this does not apply to all accounts.

The Board discussed adding language to identify mitigation as ineligible in the Riparian Protection Account category only.

Upland property:

The Board agreed to change the wording to "If acquisition of non-riparian property is included etc."

Evaluation Criteria and Matching Share:

The Board agreed with staff's recommendation. Evaluation points would be issued for providing matching resources, to reward extra effort.

Public testimony:

Connie Waddington, Washington Wildlife Recreation Coalition (WWRC), expressed her appreciation to the IAC and commended the Board on the process used for the two new programs. The Coalition is pleased with the results.

Debby Hyde, Pierce County, expressed that she was interested in the mitigation discussion. Her opinion would be that it is impossible to determine the difference between mitigation and a project.

#### **WWRP - FARMLAND PROTECTION CATEGORY**

Jim Fox presented this agenda item with Kammie Bunes' assistance. (See notebook item #9 for details.)

Jim reviewed the information and a handout presented at today's meeting, highlighting issues and public comments.

Standing advisory committee:

In Manual #10f, keep the language about a standing advisory committee but delete the reference about the length of appointment. *Decision: The Board is okay with this.*

Grant cycle timelines:

Insert existing policy language on waiver of retroactivity, which provides a mechanism for applicants to obtain IAC approval to acquire a significant farm prior to grant funding and still bring that acquisition forward as an eligible grant application. Clarifying existing policy concerning waivers of retroactivity. *Decision: The Board is okay with this.*

What is money for:

Change the first sentence wording from "to ensure" to "and ensure". *Decision: The Board is okay with this.*

Add sentence to the end of the third paragraph to make a clear statement about which projects are preferred. *Decision: The Board is okay with this.*

Grant fund limits:

The advisory committee recommended setting a maximum grant of \$750,000 with no minimum amount.

Bill noted that with this category, there might be times when you would want to purchase a small parcel so he would concur with the recommendation to not have a minimum. *Decision: The Board is okay with this.*

Eligible acquisition projects:

The advisory committee felt strongly about approving funding for stewardship plans. They recommended a maximum of \$10,000. *Decision: The Board is okay with this.*

Aquaculture farms:

Clarify that aquaculture is eligible under certain conditions. "Farms producing cultivated marine or freshwater aquatic products are eligible if occurring on privately owned tidelands or uplands."

The Board came to the consensus that aquaculture should not be eligible.

Eligible enhancement and restoration activities:

Recommended adding drilled wells to list of examples of allowable activities in item A.

Partnership in applications:

Insert language to make it clear that only applicants/sponsors may hold title to acquired property rights. To the last paragraph under this section add: "Furthermore, since statute allows only cities and counties as eligible grant sponsors, property rights must be held by the sponsor to be eligible for this program."

Evaluation criteria - viability, water availability:

Amend to read: "Does the property have legitimate water rights and adequate water to support intended or likely agriculture activities?"

Evaluation criteria - viability, likelihood the farm will remain in agriculture:

Add sentence to clarify: "This item applies to other factors that could affect long-term viability not already covered above in items a-g."

Craig requested adding a notice about critical pathways and the natural heritage plan.

Jeff discussed adding the viability issue of adding proximity to consumers.

Jim said this was discussed and believes it is covered with proximity to roads.

Public testimony:

No public testimony on this issue.

## **WASHINGTON ADMINISTRATIVE CODE RULES - WAC 287-27**

Director Johnson and Greg Lovelady presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #10 for details.)

Director Johnson, acting as the WAC hearing officer, called the hearing to order at 4 p.m.

February 2, 2006, 4 p.m. in the Natural Resources Building in Olympia, Washington.  
Considering testimony on IAC WAC rules regarding the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Programs and making other changes.

Greg Lovelady presented the details concerning this WAC, the process that was used to gather public comment, and staff recommendation.

Greg reviewed the public comments and staff response.

Public testimony:

No public testimony was requested for this agenda item.

Hearings Officer Johnson concluded the public hearing portion of the meeting at 4:10 p.m.

Resolution #2006-03

Karen Daubert **MOVED** to approve Resolution #2006-03. Jeff Parsons **SECONDED**.

Resolution # 2006-03 was **APPROVED** as presented.

#### **FINAL ADOPTION-MANUAL (S) - WWRP - RELATED**

Director Johnson presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #11 for details.)

Director Johnson reviewed Manual #10a first, highlighting recommended changes and updates.

Director Johnson discussed the 10 percent non-state, non-federal match.

Karen Daubert believes the Board has discussed this issue at the past two meetings and although sympathetic to the concerns, she believes this requirement should be kept.

Bill Chapman agreed with the need to have the 10 percent non-state, non-federal match but he would leave tribes out of this requirement.

Bill Chapman made a **MOTION** to amend Manual #10a to exclude both Native American Tribes and state government from the 10 percent match requirement. Rex Derr **SECONDED**.

The Board **APPROVED** the motion with the exception of Karen Daubert.

Public testimony:

No public testimony.

Director Johnson reviewed Manual #10b, highlighting recommended changes and updates.

Marguerite read clarifying text in a couple portions of the manual.

Policy decisions for Board members:  
The Board discussed the 10 percent matching requirement.

Craig Partridge made a **MOTION** to amend Manual #10b to exclude Native American Tribes as in Manual #10a.

**SECONDED** by Jeff Parsons. Board **APPROVED** with the exception of Karen Daubert.

Ineligible project activities:  
The Board asked this to be revised to reflect only the Riparian Protection Account by changing the wording to read, "In the Riparian Habitat Protection Account." Staff recommends approving this.

Jeff Parsons made a **MOTION** to revise the language to read "In the Riparian Habitat Protection Account". Bill Chapman **SECONDED**. Motion **APPROVED**.

Resolutions #2006-04 – Manual #10a and criteria as amended and Resolution #2006-05 – Manual #10b and criteria as amended.

Jeff Parsons **MOVED** to approve Resolution #2006-04 and Resolution #2006-05. Karen Daubert **SECONDED**.

Resolutions #2006-04 and #2006-05 **APPROVED**.  
Director Johnson and Jim Fox reviewed Manual #10f highlighting recommended changes and updates.

Policy decision:  
Staff and advisory committees' recommendation is to eliminate the minimum amount of \$25,000. Bill Chapman **MOVED** and Craig Partridge **SECONDED**. Board **APPROVED**.

Resolution #2006-06 – Manual #10f.  
Jeff Parsons **MOVED** to approve Resolution #2006-06 as amended. Yvonne Yokota **SECONDED** the motion.

Resolution # 2006-06 was **APPROVED**.

#### **MITIGATION BANKING PROGRAM - WWRP - PROPOSED REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS**

Jim Fox presented this agenda item with assistance from Margen Carlson, WDFW. (See notebook item #12 for details.)

They outlined the mitigation banking pilot project proposal for this grant round and different ways of going forward with this project.

Public testimony:  
None.

Craig likes the requirement for a successful proposal to be clear how it is treating monitoring stewardship and adapted management. What he didn't see is any expectation that a strong proposal would demonstrate a long-term scientific approach.

Bill discussed the definition he would use to define a mitigation bank. Since a public entity owns the land, acquisition should occur first, then planning, permitting, and restoration. This would be a bank. What is being sold depends on what it would cost to get a similar piece of property to the same level. Bill suggested only one or two projects per category, not one or more.

Rex Derr noted that Jay Manning, director of the Dept. of Ecology, made a presentation where he stressed the need to change the mitigation process, which currently has a less than 50 percent success rate. We don't want to get locked into traditional methods but there is a need to change to something that has a much higher rate of success than 50 percent.

Jim is concerned with limiting the number of projects now and thinks the Board may want to wait to see how many requests come in.

The Board will delay the decision on Resolution #2006-07 until day two.

Meeting recessed at 6:05 p.m.

## INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING

### Day 2

---

DATE: February 2-3, 2006  
TIME: 8:30 a.m.

PLACE: Natural Resources Building  
Olympia, Washington

---

#### INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Val Ogden, Chair	Vancouver
Yvonne Yokota	Sequim
Karen Daubert	Seattle
Bill Chapman	Mercer Island
Jeff Parsons	Leavenworth
Rex Derr	Director, State Parks and Recreation Commission
Craig Partridge	Designee, Department of Natural Resources
Mark Quinn	Designee, Department of Fish and Wildlife

---

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED WITH THE NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.  
A RECORDED TAPE IS RETAINED BY IAC AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

Meeting reconvened at 8:30 a.m. by acting Chair Jeff Parsons.

#### NATIONAL RECREATIONAL TRAIL PROGRAM (NRTP) POLICIES - MATCHING FUNDS, EVALUATION AND OTHER MANUAL UPDATES

Greg Lovelady presented this agenda item highlighting proposed changes to the NRTP policy. (See notebook item #15 for details.)

Public Testimony:  
None.

#### Resolution #2006-08

Karen Daubert **MOVED** to approve Resolution #2006-08 with an amendment to add Native American Tribes as exempt from the 10 percent minimum local match requirement. Mark Quinn **SECONDED**.

#### Board Discussion:

Bill Chapman asked if the determination of a backcountry experience is part of the evaluation process and if there is a standard that all trails need to meet.

Greg reported that the evaluation questions are such that development projects aren't usually brought to the forefront.

Jim Eychaner explained that a couple of the federal agencies have trail standards but that for the most part, state and local agencies have their own standards.



Director Laura Johnson noted that this is not a wilderness experience but a backcountry experience.

Marguerite Austin explained the trails program and how to get a backcountry experience. Even trails in an urban area can qualify for this program as long as they provide what is defined as a backcountry experience.

Bill asked Marguerite to show where the qualifications and evaluation questions respond to the backcountry trail experience. Marguerite explained the process and offered to discuss this more with Bill if he wanted additional information.

Bill asked if the Board was interested in upping the maximum amount from \$50,000 to possibly \$100,000.

Greg reported that staff could take this back to the committees for the next grant cycle but if the Board does want to increase it this year then there shouldn't be a problem.

Marguerite provided the following options:

- Make a decision at today's meeting.
- Have public comment period through February and March then decide at the April 2006 meeting.
- Make a change in the next grant cycle.

Director Johnson noted that the Board could adopt the manual with the *limit section* still in draft to allow for getting public comment and adoption in April.

Staff recommends adopting the manual as presented to the Board, then seeking public comment on the maximum amount limit of \$50,000, \$75,000, or \$100,000.

Resolution #2006-08 was **APPROVED** as presented. The Board agreed to add Native American Tribes as exempt from the match requirement and wait for a decision on maximum limit amount at the April 2006 meeting.

## **MITIGATION BANKING PROGRAM - WWRP- PROPOSED REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (Continued)**

Jim Fox reviewed the discussion from day one. (See notebook item # 12 for details.)

Jim discussed concerns with using the wording "*best available science*". He is recommending including "A scientifically sound restoration and/or enhancement plan for the site that includes the anticipated species and habitat benefits and demonstrates a high likelihood that these benefits will be realized." This wording would be included in two spots in the request for proposals- page three and page six.

Chair Ogden noted another issue in the language about the number of projects to fund.

Jim recommends keeping the language flexible so the evaluators can make the decision on the best mix.

Chair Ogden noted that there are three different programs and that the Board would like to have a representative project from each of the programs.

Jim suggested wording: "The Board is seeking grant proposals for the WWRP with the intent of funding one or two projects in each of the three categories" to amend the request for proposals.

Director Johnson's concern is making sure the Board has the ability to deny a project and if the expectations are set at a high number of projects, the Board does not want to have projects funded just so the number is met.

Resolution #2006-07

Karen Daubert **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2006-07. Bill Chapman **SECONDED**.

Resolution #2006-07 was **APPROVED** as discussed and amended.

## **OTHER BUSINESS**

Director Johnson reminded citizen board members that their public disclosure P-5 forms are due to the Public Disclosure Commission by April 15, 2006.

Director Johnson also reminded the Board that they might get scheduled for confirmation hearings during this legislative session. If they are, the members will only get a short amount of notice.

Director Johnson also discussed monitoring issues with the Board. This is an issue the Board will need to address, as the public is requiring accountability of the funds being spent on the programs.

## **WORK SESSION: CONVERSION ISSUES - THE BIGGER PICTURE**

Neil Aaland and Jim Eychaner presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #13 for details.)

Jim Eychaner reviewed the issues surrounding this agenda item.

Some concepts:

- Improved definitions. What do we mean when something is out of compliance?
- Public involvement
- Perpetuity
- What staff, Director, or the Board can decide?
- Consequences for unresolved compliance issues.

Staff is asking for a citizen member of the IAC and a citizen member from the SRFB to join the small working group of sponsors, interested stakeholders, and staff.

Marguerite explained, in a little more detail, the compliance issue and how staff found that projects are out of compliance.

Neil noted that one upcoming compliance issue is Sound Transit. Staff recently received a list of parks that could be affected by the Sound Transit system.

Marguerite noted that staff is in the process of hiring a compliance officer and changes will be made to the project database that will better show where these projects are.

Rex asked how much discretion the Board has in deciding some of the issues, such as consequences. He assumes that through the process we will identify direction, what expectations are, and what the different steps are.

Director Johnson is hoping that through this process, Washington State will become the national model on how to handle this issue. The first step is to help the Federal Land and Water Conservation program understand the base issues.

Karen thanked staff for the work on this issue so far and looks forward to working on this in the upcoming year. She hopes that the manual changes are part of the priority for making changes.

Chair Ogden asked, in requesting the citizen member, if staff had a general idea of how many hours will be needed.

Jim noted that he tries to not use too much of the citizen volunteer's time, mostly reading of the proposals and attending one or two in-person meetings.

Public testimony:

Sharon Claussen, program manager for King County Parks, noted this is a timely issue as they are facing this issue daily. There are a lot of gray areas that are currently written as black and white. She reported that the Endangered Species Act (ESA) also has caused problems with their property. In some cases they acquired property for one reason but with ESA permitting issues, the intended use is obsolete. Until they hear directly from Sound Transit they are not clear what impact it will have on King County parks.

Jim informed the Board that we are on record with Sound Transit that this is an issue that needs to be addressed.

Sharon noted that they are also on record with Sound Transit and she appreciates when IAC's funding is involved so that she doesn't feel like she is on her own telling them that something needs to be done and that IAC is behind her.

Chair Ogden asked for volunteers for this project.

Jeff Parsons is interested but is concerned with his time commitment and needs to explore his availability before volunteering for this issue.

Neil noted that staff is willing to work with him to make sure it is not too onerous on him.

The Chair would like to have the Board informed about meetings so they can attend if possible.

## **REVIEW OF URBAN WILDLIFE HABITAT (UWH) PROGRAM**

Director Johnson presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #14 for details.)

Director Johnson provided a map of projects funded through the Urban Wildlife Habitat program. Director Johnson explained that although this issue was on the agenda for discussion, because of time constraints and staff had not completed the draft white paper on the issue, she recommended that the discussion be delayed until the April, or at the latest, the June board meeting.

Karen Daubert provided some background on this agenda item. She referred to the map and pointed to significant changes that occurred in this category since the late 1990s. She discussed the fact that this issue has been raised as part of the strategic planning process and had not been discussed in September or November due to time constraints. She agreed that because the white paper was not final, it made sense to delay initial discussion until the April IAC meeting. She sees this as an ongoing topic for discussion over the next couple meetings.

Bill asked if the Board could get the old and new criteria to see what changed in 1999. The Board needs to start with the education piece and then work through the pros and cons and next steps.

Jeff asked if this is an issue that needs to be coordinated with Community Trade and Economic Development (CTED) and is a Growth Management Act (GMA) issue.

Director Johnson believes the most important group is the local community and other constituents, such as the WWRC.

## **TRAIL PLAN PRESENTATION**

Jim Eychaner presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #16 for details.)

Chair Ogden congratulated Jim for being president of the NARRP. Jim reported it is an interesting group with members across ten states.

Jim provided background on the trail program and public process to date.

Jim does not believe we need to redo the Washington State Trails Plan because other agencies are working on trails plans and there is no need to duplicate efforts.

Under the Priorities of Government, IAC is mentioned as a partner in the health benefits of recreation and some additional strategies IAC can think about are:

- Continued funding through the NOVA program, the NRTP, LWCF, and the "trails" category of the WWRP.
- Encourage local agencies to connect via "shared use paths" for walking and bicycling.
- Provide state-to-local connections through implementation of State Parks Centennial 2013 Plan.
- Encourage Congress to better fund federal agencies to support federal trail systems and programs.

Jeff thanked Jim for being in Wenatchee. He felt this was a good meeting. One challenge people in Wenatchee are facing is incompatibility of trails and agriculture lands. He suggested getting the Department of Agriculture involved to help find ways to assist with this issue.

Chair Ogden attended a Clark County workshop on the local trails plan. She was wondering if the local plans are made accessible to Jim. This should be included in Jim's trails map.

Karen finds it a little disappointing in that she expected more leadership from IAC on this issue but understands the comments received from other constituents.

Jim Eychaner appreciates Karen's comments but reading through his notes, the main message he felt was "don't tell us what to do."

Rex asked for the date of the original trails plan.

Jim noted that the original plan was published in June of 1991.

Rex doesn't hear that the existing trails plan is obsolete so can the IAC reaffirm that this plan is still okay?

Director Johnson would like to think about this before making a statement.

Karen suggested using the plan with an updated map.

Director Johnson agreed to the concept but noted that there are parts of the plan that need to be reviewed and staff should come back with a way to make a notation that this is that current plan and not the 1991 plan.

Yvonne attended the Port Angeles meeting and believes the best thing would be to gather information from the local entities and collate the information into a database that groups can use in their planning.

Jeff noted that when Jim visited Wenatchee he asked what role IAC should play. He doesn't think that the first thing people think of is "develop a state trails plan", but more "what can be done in their area". If the information were pulled together it would help locals in meeting Priorities Of Government goals and for fundraising and lobbying for funds.

#### **MATCHING REQUIREMENTS FOR IAC GRANTS**

Jim Fox presented this agenda item. (See Resolution #2005-24 as handout.)

Jim provided amendments to previously approved Resolution #2005-24 to meet with day one's meeting discussions. He made a suggestion to strike the #3 bullet and add Native American Tribe in the middle "be it further resolved".

Motion to pass amended # 2005-04

Yvonne Yokota **MOVED** to approve amending Resolution #2005-24. Jeff Parsons **SECONDED**.

Bill Chapman asked to amend the amendment to reword the first sentence in the #3 bullet to say, "When an IAC grant is used to help match another IAC grant and absent other statutory direction."

Karen remarked that although she does not agree with the changes, does believe that it is consistent.

The Board **APPROVED** the amendment to Resolution #2005-24.

Jim noted a need for a clarifying motion to exempt sponsor match the from NOVA program.

Jeff Parsons **MOVED** to approve clarifying motion. Karen Daubert **SECONDED**.

The Board **APPROVED** the clarifying motion with one nay by Bill Chapman.

#### **IAC NAME CHANGE**

Susan Zemek presented this agenda along with the consultants, Katie Stiere and Matt Driscoll. (See notebook item #17 for details.)

Katie reviewed the process to date and provided the new options.

The top four names were presented:

1. Washington Conservation and Recreation Funding Board/Office
2. Washington Recreation and Conservation Board/Office
3. Washington Recreation and Conservation Funding Board/Office
4. Washington Conservation and Recreation Board/Office

Karen said she would go with the majority and vote for the top ranked name although it was not her first choice.

Neither, Yvonne or Chair Ogden believe the word *funding* is necessary but would go with the top ranked name.

Jeff agrees with the top recommendation and believes the word *funding* is needed.  
Rex likes the top choice.

Chair Ogden reminded the Board that this is the first step. Next year the Board would still need to get Governor and Legislature approval for this request.

Jeff noted that if the Board needs to have staff take this to the Governor's Office for agency request, the motion might be to recommend the new name to the Governor and/or Legislature.

Jeff Parsons **MOVED** to make a recommendation to the Governor and Legislature to change the agency name from Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation to Washington Conservation and Recreation Funding Board/Office.

Bill Chapman **SECONDED**.

Board **APPROVED** the recommendation.

Susan noted that we will be developing a communication plan and the consultant will come back with a new logo.

### **STRADDLELINE ORV PARK - CONTRACT WITH GRAYS HARBOR COUNTY**

Kammie Bunes and Neil Aaland presented this agenda item. (See notebook item #18 for details.)

Kammie reviewed the history of this project and process to-date.

As part of the resolution, a new proposed exit strategy was included as attachment A. This new strategy eliminates duplication of IAC policy language and clarifies information.

Resolution #2006-10

Bill Chapman **MOVED** to adopt Resolution #2006-10. Karen Daubert **SECONDED**.

Grays Harbor Commissioner Bob Beerbower provided comments on the draft strategy and exit plan. He noted that there will be a separate account for the park and any revenue generated will be reinvested into the park.

Another late breaking announcement is that an insurance carrier has been found so this is a positive direction for the commission.

Rex Derr thanked the commissioner for what has been accomplished and likes the spirit of trying to make this work. He noticed in the plan, a budget item for a \$100,000 Maintenance and Operation (M&O) grant from IAC. He questioned if this was for a long-term plan. Commissioner Beerbower noted that this is an old park and all the problems haven't been identified yet and although the long-range plan would be to become self-sufficient, they will need assistance in the near future. He wants to make this a destination for a lot of families. One key aspect is access to Capital Forest. Grays Harbor plans to work closely with DNR to make this work.

Craig said DNR also is anxious to work with the county to make this a success.

Commissioner Beerbower wants to make sure there are activities for families and kids. So much money is being spent on kids that are getting into trouble but not enough for kids that aren't in trouble. He is willing to subsidize activities for kids but not for adults. Just like how the fairgrounds tries to do things for the kids.

Bill Chapman asked about ongoing M&O funding and if this is common practice for IAC.

Director Johnson reported that IAC has provided this type of funding for ORV parks in the past.

The Grays Harbor commissioners are having a public meeting on Monday, February 6, to adopt the business plan and exit strategy.

Staff is looking for the Board to approve the agreement in concept before the funds are released.

Karen spoke in favor of the resolution but isn't "jumping for joy" about the M&O grants.

Rex understands not "jumping for joy" but the IAC is partners with four other parks across the state. We have a plan and exit strategy and believe we are moving ahead. He complimented the commissioner on the work on the park this far.

Vicki Cummings, staff at Grays Harbor, joined Commissioner Beerbower. Commissioner Beerbower informed the Board that he and Vicki are very conservative and the plan states what they know they can do.

Vicki commented that they are very optimistic about the plan. They hope to come back to the Board to let them know they did better than expected.

Craig echoed Rex's thanks for the work and looks forward to their partnership. Lands Commissioner Doug Sutherland is going forward with planning for the ORV Park and will be working together on this issue.

Jeff noted that we are not in a lawsuit or working on a conversion anymore. The Board was able to fulfill a promise made many years ago to provide this activity for our citizens.



Chair Ogden noted that there are dedicated funds that were intended to help with these programs only. She thanked the commissioner and Vicki Cummings for the leadership and for following through.

Mark Quinn gave a lot of credit and thanks to Grays Harbor for its work and taking a chance to keep this up and running.

Resolution #2006-10 was **APPROVED** as presented.

Karen gave recognition to Susan Zemek for her help with the agency naming process and the big check presentations.

#### **REPORTS FROM PARTNERS AND PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**

No reports.

Chair Ogden reminded members to attend an application workshop.

Meeting adjourned at 12:30 p.m.

#### **LEAVE FOR TOUR AT ORV PARK**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Val Ogden, Chair

Next Meeting:      April 18, 2006  
                         Natural Resources Building  
                         Olympia, Washington



STATE OF WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE  
1111 Washington Street SE  
PO Box 40917  
Olympia, WA 98504-0917

**RESOLUTION #2006-01**  
**February 2006 Consent Agenda**

**BE IT RESOLVED**, that the following February 2006, Consent Agenda items are approved:

- a) Approval of IAC Minutes – November 15, 2005,
- b) Time Extensions, and
- c) Cost Increase Request - WDFW Project #98-131D Bush Point

Moved by: Jeff Parsons

Seconded by: Yvonne Yokota

Adopted / Defeated / Deferred (underline result)

Date: February 2, 2006

**Attachment A**  
**Time Extension Request for Board Approval**  
**Consent Calendar - Resolution #2006-01**

<b>Project #</b>	<b>Sponsor Name</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Grant Program</b>	<b>Board Funded</b>	<b>Extension Requested</b>	<b>Circumstance or Reasons for Delay</b>
00-1295C	Kent Parks, Recreation & Community Services	Three Friends Fishing Hole Development	WWRP-ORA-Trails	7/18/01	12/31/06	<p>The Boeing Company has donated 8.69 acres of land and the City of Kent has constructed 1/2 mile of new trail for the Green River Regional Trail system, and begun the construction of a new trailhead with a picnic shelter and restroom. The construction of the 37-car parking lot, the accessible fishing platform, and the native riparian plantings and riverbank stabilization will be completed this summer/fall per the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife's Hydraulic Project Approval (HPA).</p> <p>The City of Kent requests a time extension consistent with the HPA, which requires any work water ward of the ordinary high water mark to be completed between June 16<sup>th</sup> and August 15<sup>th</sup>.</p>
01-1160D	Kitsap County	Veterans Memorial Park	LWCF	1/30/2002	12/31/2006	<p>This project was originally for redevelopment of three girls softball fields at Veterans Memorial Park, located east of Port Orchard in Kitsap County. The County received bids for the original scope of work that far exceeded the project budget. The County has revised the scope and is now ready to proceed with construction. The reduced project scope is for construction of two softball fields with irrigation, an accessible pathway from the existing parking lot, and restrooms.</p> <p>With IAC approval of the time extension, IAC staff can then approve the revised scope of work. The County is committed to completing construction of this project by the end of 2006.</p>

**Resolution #2006 - 02**

**WAC Adoption: Matching Requirements ~ Supplanting Existing Capacity  
WAC 286-13-045, WAC 286-13-080**

**WHEREAS**, the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) adopted resolution 2005-24 which states in part that • projects are becoming more costly, • access to revenues is diminishing, • financial assistance from more than one grant source is often needed, • there is a need to clarify IAC's reasons for requiring matching resources, and • that it is IAC's policy to require a minimum of ten percent of the total cost of a project be provided by the applicant in the form of a non-state, non-federal contribution; and

**WHEREAS**, this latter requirement would present a hardship for certain programs such as IAC's Nonhighway and Off-Road Vehicle Activities (NOVA) Program where it may be difficult to find qualified sponsors; and

**WHEREAS**, IAC also adopted resolution 2005-25 which states that its current WAC 286-13-080 is nearly impossible to apply, even though a rule should be open to clear, consistent, and fair application; and

**WHEREAS**, IAC staff was directed to prepare new and revised WACs for adoption that address these concerns; and

**WHEREAS**, a proposal, herein shown as Attachment 3 and filed with the State Code Reviser on December 19, 2005, has been developed and considered in a far reaching public review process in which comments were encouraged; and

**WHEREAS**, this proposal is in accord with existing agency policies, state law, and the intent to clearly communicate fair and consistent rules;

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that IAC adopts the rule and directs its staff to undertake steps necessary for final filing and implementing the rule changes specified in the aforementioned attachment; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that IAC also directs its staff to respond to any comments received regarding the adopted proposal with information on how the final adoption reflects IAC's consideration of the comments, or why it fails to do so.

Resolution moved by: Mark Quinn

Resolution seconded by: Karen Daubert

Adopted — Defeated — Deferred (underline)

February 2, 2005

**Attachment 1**

**Resolution #2006 - 03**

**WAC Adoption: WWRP-Related Proposal  
Chapter 286-27 WAC**

**WHEREAS**, the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) adopted resolution 2005-30 authorizing the Director to file the documents necessary for implementation of the changes in the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program (WWRP) specified in Chapter 303, Laws of 2005 (ESSB 5396); and

**WHEREAS**, Sections 2(8), 6(4), 7(3), and 15(2) of the cited law authorize IAC to adopt rules addressing conversion of land and facilities acquired or developed with WWRP funds and rules for the new Farmland Preservation and Riparian Habitat Accounts-Programs; and

**WHEREAS**, a proposal, herein shown as Attachment 3 and filed with the State Code Reviser on December 19, 2005, has been developed and considered in a far reaching public review process in which comments were encouraged; and

**WHEREAS**, this proposal is accord with existing agency policies, state law, and the intent to clearly communicate fair and consistent rules;

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that IAC approves the proposal and directs its staff to undertake steps necessary for final filing and implementing the rule changes specified in the aforementioned attachment; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that IAC also directs its staff to respond to any comments received regarding the adopted proposal with information on how the final adoption reflects IAC's consideration of the comments, or why it fails to do so.

Resolution moved by: Karen Daubert

Resolution seconded by: Jeff Parsons

Adopted — Defeated — Deferred (circle)

February 2, 2005

**RESOLUTION #2006-04**  
**Adoption of Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program Policy Manuals**  
**Manual #10a, WWRP – Outdoor Recreation Account**  
**Manual #10b, WWRP – Habitat Conservation Account**

**WHEREAS**, Chapter 303, Laws of 2005 established State Lands Restoration and Enhancement and State Lands Development and Renovation as two new categories within the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program; and

**WHEREAS**, the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) has the authority to adopt policies and rules for WWRP; and

**WHEREAS**, IAC staff has utilized the services of its ad hoc advisory committee composed of citizen representatives and state and local agency representatives to advise IAC on policies for these two new WWRP categories; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed policy manuals, Manual #10a and Manual #10b, have been reviewed by the ad hoc advisory committee and the committee's recommendations transmitted to the IAC; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed policies have been made available for review and comment by individuals and organizations that have expressed an interest in WWRP; and

**WHEREAS**, adoption of Manual #10a and Manual #10b is essential to implementing the first grant cycle for the State Lands Restoration and Enhancement and State Lands Development and Renovation categories, commencing May 1, 2006;

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the IAC adopts *Manual #10a, WWRP - Outdoor Recreation Account* and *Manual 10b, WWRP - Habitat Conservation Account*; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that IAC staff is directed to take the necessary steps for immediate implementation and distribution of these manuals to interested parties.

*Resolution moved by: Jeff Parsons*

*Resolution seconded by: Karen Daubert*

*Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)*

*Date: February 2, 2006*

**Attachment C  
Resolution**

**Interagency Committee For Outdoor Recreation**

**RESOLUTION #2006-05**

**Adoption of the Riparian Protection Account Policy Manual**

**WHEREAS**, Chapter 303, Laws of 2005 established a new Riparian Protection Account (RPA) as part of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program; and

**WHEREAS**, the Interagency Committee For Outdoor Recreation (IAC) has the authority to adopt policies and rules for the WWRP and for the RPA; and

**WHEREAS**, IAC staff has convened a committee composed of representatives of environmental organizations, tribes, local governments, lead entities, agricultural interests, and state agency representatives to advise the IAC on RPA policies; and

**WHEREAS**, IAC staff held workshops in Moses Lake and Fife to solicit public input on the RPA; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed RPA policy manual, Manual #10, has been reviewed by the RPA Advisory Committee and the Committee's recommendations transmitted to the IAC; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed policy manual has been made available for review and comment by over two thousand individuals and organizations that have expressed an interest in the WWRP and the RPA, including counties, cities, conservation districts, land trusts, agricultural organizations, lead entities, and environmental organizations;

**WHEREAS**, adoption of Manual #10 is essential to implementing the first grant cycle of the RPA, commencing May 1, 2006;

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the IAC adopts the Riparian Protection Account Manual #10.

Jeff Parsons Moved   Karen Daubert   Seconded

MOTION CARRIED / FAILED

**Interagency Committee For Outdoor Recreation**

**RESOLUTION #2006-06**

**Adoption of the Farmland Preservation Program Policy Manual**

**WHEREAS**, C303 L2005 (ESSB5396) established a new farmland preservation grant program (FPP) as part of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program; and

**WHEREAS**, the Interagency Committee For Outdoor Recreation (IAC) has the authority to adopt policies and rules for the WWRP and for the FPP; and

**WHEREAS**, IAC staff has convened a committee composed of farmers, representatives of farming and environmental organizations and state agencies to advise the IAC on FPP policies; and

**WHEREAS**, IAC staff held workshops in Moses Lake and Fife to solicit public input on the FPP; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed FPP policy manual, Manual 10f, has been reviewed by the FPP Advisory Committee and the Committee's recommendations have been transmitted to the IAC; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed policy manual has been made available for review and comment by almost three thousand individuals and organizations that have expressed an interest in the WWRP and the FPP, including counties, cities, conservation districts, land trusts, and agricultural and environmental organizations; and

**WHEREAS**, adoption of Manual 10f is essential to implementing the first grant cycle of the FPP, commencing May 1, 2006;

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the IAC adopts the Farmland Preservation Program Manual 10f.

Jeff Parsons Moved Yvonne Yokota Seconded

MOTION CARRIED / FAILED



**Interagency Committee For Outdoor Recreation**

**RESOLUTION #2006-07**

**Authorizing Release of a Request for Mitigation Banking  
Project Grant Proposals**

**WHEREAS**, C303 L2005 (ESSB5396) gave the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation (IAC) authority to fund mitigation banking projects in the *critical habitat*, *urban wildlife habitat*, and *riparian habitat* funding categories of the Washington Wildlife and Recreation Program (WWRP); and

**WHEREAS**, the IAC has interpreted the phrase "mitigation banking projects" to include projects for restoring and preserving wetlands and wetland function and for preserving upland habitat for listed and sensitive species (often referred to as "conservation banking" or "habitat banking"), and

**WHEREAS**, although state policy on wetland mitigation banking is in place, there is very little policy regarding conservation and habitat mitigation banking; and

**WHEREAS**, there was insufficient time between the passage of ESSB5396 and the beginning of the 2006 WWRP grant cycle to develop a coordinated state policies on conservation and habitat mitigation banking; and

**WHEREAS**, a pilot (demonstration) program approach to funding mitigation banking projects will allow IAC to work with state and local agencies to begin to develop these policies;

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the IAC will issue a request for grant proposals in the three affected WWRP funding categories with the intent to provide one or more grants to pilot (demonstration) projects that would satisfy the intent and requirements of the WWRP and stimulate creative and scientifically sound approaches to establishing mitigation banks that are capable of compensating for negative impacts to a variety of habitats and species.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the IAC approves release of the attached request for grant proposals.

Karen Daubert Moved Bill Chapman Seconded

MOTION CARRIED / FAILED

**RESOLUTION #2006-08**

**February 3, 2006**

***NATIONAL RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM (NRTP)***

***POLICY MANUAL 16 ~ REVISIONS***

**WHEREAS**, specific policy updates and housekeeping improvements have been identified in the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation's (IAC) NRTP policy Manual 16, (National Recreational Trails Program: Policies and Project Selection); and

**WHEREAS**, these changes, described in a January 2006 memorandum to IAC's board, concern implementation details based on board approved policy updates and federal transportation legislation modifications, and staff suggested clarifications; and

**WHEREAS**, these changes conform to state and federal statutes, rules, and policies; and

**WHEREAS**, these changes have been considered and updated through a public review process in which all comments were encouraged; and

**WHEREAS**, these changes were further considered in an advertised public meeting convened on behalf of IAC's board;

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that IAC hereby adopts the updates proposed in the January 11, 2006 draft of NRTP Manual 16; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that these policy manual updates will take effect beginning with the 2006 application cycle and that IAC staff will take steps necessary for implementing this decision, including communication to interested parties.

Resolution moved by: Karen Daubert

Resolution seconded by: Mark Quinn

Adopted / Defeated / Deferred (underline result)

**RESOLUTION 2006-10  
ORV Sports Park Funding**

**WHEREAS**, the Board of County Commissioners for Grays Harbor County has preserved the nonconforming use permit to allow continued operation of the Straddleline ORV sports park, and has completed a business plan, including exit strategy, to guide operation of the ORV sports park in keeping with IAC Board Resolution #2005-23,

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation approves the IAC Director enter into an agreement with the County for an amount up to \$350,000 for development, operation and maintenance of the facility for two years from this date.

Resolution moved by: Bill Chapman

Resolution seconded by: Karen Daubert

Adopted/Defeated/Deferred (underline one)

Date: February 3, 2006